

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/485,657	03/24/2000	LEONARD COLIN ANDREWS	89073	2124
	90 09/28/2004	EXAMINER		
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET			MICHALSKI, JUSTIN I	
<b>SUITE 3800</b>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60661			2644	
			DATE MAN ED COMO MOC	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Applicat	ion No	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Comments	09/485,6	09/485,657 ANDREWS, LEG		ŅARD COLIN	
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Justin M		2644		
ا Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears on th	ie cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	dress	
THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F- MILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ns of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commid iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 riod for reply is specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months a latent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. 0) days, a reply within the statetutory period will apply and versill, by statule, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a re atutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT plication to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  (HS from the mailing date of this c	ely. communication.	
Status						
1) 🛛 R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>05 April 2004</u> .				
2a)□ Th	nis action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is a	non-final.			
	nce this application is in condition osed in accordance with the practi	•		-	e merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a 5)□ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)□ CI	aim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the a ) Of the above claim(s) is/a aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co				
Application	Papers					
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10)□ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b	) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
	oplicant may not request that any object	=		• •		
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to	•		•	, ,	
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)□ . 1.l 2.l 3.l	knowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Certified copies of the priority  Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority documnal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Ap ents have been r le 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)						
_ ``	f References Cited (PTO-892)	1	4) 🔲 Interview Sı	ummary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)	)/Mail Date	0.450)	
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Inf 6)  Other:	formal Patent Application (PT) 	O-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In amendment filed 5 April 2004, "Please amend claim I, in its first line, by deleting '(or similar)'" has not been entered since "(or similar)" does not appear in line 1.

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claim 4 not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. Claims 13-15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, claims 13-15 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 4. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear whether "signals" in line 2 of claims 13 and 14 are referring to the infrared signals or particular audio signals. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claims 22 and 24 is objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear to what particular signals the limitation "signals" is referring to in line 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2644

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 16-20, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd ("Multi-Room Hi-Fi takes control of the home, The Sunday Times. 28 MAY 1995") in view of Knekt System Installation Manual Rev 2.00 (11/18/1996), and further in view of Puvogel (US Patent 4,733,389).

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding Claim 1, Lloyd discloses a distributed audio system, including: two or more speakers for the broadcast of stereo signals (separate speakers are used through out a house); a source of stereo audio signals (main hi-fi system); a stereo amplifier to amplify stereo audio signals and drive the speakers (see description for kitchen and bedroom); a mains operated electrical power supply to provide power to the amplifier (see second column), where the amplifier is located in the same room as the speakers (Column 2 paragraph 3 discloses a separate amplifier and speakers in each room), and remote from the signal source and power supply (see description for kitchen and bedroom and Column 2).

Lloyd does not disclose power supply by means of a category 5 four twisted cable which provides, in respective conductors of the twisted pairs, right channel audio signals from the signal source the amplifier, left channel audio from the signal source to the amplifier and DC power from the power supply to the amplifier. The Knekt system installation manual describes the Knekt system in the cited Lloyd article disclosing the use of CAT5 cable for transmitting audio signals (Page 19). Puvogel discloses an Ethernet cable containing five twisted pairs. One pair used for each of three signals and another for power. The fifth pair is user defined (Col. 1, lines 46-57). Puvogel further discloses the power signal being a DC signal (Col. 1, lines 65-66). Since Puvogel discloses transmitting power and signals in a single cable, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit DC power along with signals in one cable in order to reduce the number of cables needed.

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding Claim 2, Lloyd further discloses amplifier and speakers in several rooms receive signals from a single source of audio signals (main hi-fi system).

Regarding Claim 3, Lloyd further discloses the source provides a selection of components, and different audio signals are provides to different rooms (Paragraph bridging columns 2 and 3).

Regarding Claim 4, Lloyd further discloses the volume is set differently in each room (since each room contains its own volume control, each room can be set with different volume level, see left hand side descriptions).

Regarding Claims 5 and 20, it is well known in the art the amplifiers are based on integrated circuits to reduce the size of the amplifier.

Regarding Claim 7, it is inherent that the greater the input level to an amplifier the greater the output level will be.

Regarding Claim 8, Lloyd further discloses a manual volume control is included with the amplifier (Lloyd discloses control using remote control or room control unit, see wiring up for sound: the living room).

Regarding Claim 9, Lloyd further discloses a hand-held remote control is provided to transmit infrared signals to a receiver mounted with the amplifier (see meanwhile in the kitchen).

Regarding Claim 10, Lloyd further discloses the amplifier is mounted with a speaker (Lloyd discloses a separate amplifier and speakers, Col. 2, paragraph 3).

Regarding Claim 16, 23, and 25 Lloyd further discloses the amplifiers receive signals from a pre-amplifier (i.e. standard line level signals) (see descriptions on left).

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding Claim 17, Lloyd further discloses the amplifier accepts speaker signals from another amplifier (pre-amplifier, see wiring up for sound).

Regarding Claims 18 and 19, it is well known in the art that audio amplifiers contain muting systems in order to disable the audio output and input level trim devices such as equalizers to customize the frequency response of the audio system.

10. Claims 6 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd ("Multi-Room Hi-Fi takes control of the home, The Sunday Times. 28 MAY 1995") in view of Knekt System Installation Manual Rev 2.00 (11/18/1996), in view of Puvogel (US Patent 4,733,389) as applied to claim 5 and 20 above, and further in view of QED AUDIO PRODUCTS LTD., "An Introduction to Streamline", April 1988, Ashford, Middlesex, England.

Lloyd in view of Knekt System Installation Manual and Puvogel disclose a system as stated apropos of claims 5 and 20. Knekt System Installation Manual further discloses the use of a wall mounted (i.e. electrical light switch housing) (Page 4, under RCU heading and figures of Lloyd reference). Lloyd in view of Knekt System Installation Manual and Puvogel do not disclose the amplifier mounted inside an electrical light switch housing. QED Audio Products discloses a multi-room audio system including a room control amplifier mounted flush on the wall (see right hand figure under "The Room Control Amplifier" on page 2 of reference) and further discloses it is intended to be located completely out of sight (page 2, first paragraph under "The Eye and Handset"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2644

art at the time the invention was made to include the amplifier mounted inside a light switch housing to hide the amplifier from sight as disclosed by QED Audio Products.

11. Claims 11-15, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lloyd ("Multi-Room Hi-Fi takes control of the home, The Sunday Times. 28 MAY 1995") in view of Knekt System Installation Manual Rev 2.00 (11/18/1996), in view of Puvogel (US Patent 4,733,389) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of QED AUDIO PRODUCTS LTD., "An Introduction to Streamline", April 1988, Ashford, Middlesex, England.

Regarding Claim 11, Lloyd in view of Knekt System Installation Manual and Puvogel disclose a system as stated apropos of claim 9. Knekt System Installation Manual further discloses the use of a wall mounted (i.e. electrical light fitting fascia plate) control panel which includes an infrared receiver (Page 4, under RCU heading and figures of Lloyd reference). Lloyd in view of Knekt System Installation Manual and Puvogel do not disclose the amplifier mounted inside a standard electrical light fitting. QED Audio Products discloses a multi-room audio system including a room control amplifier mounted flush on the wall (see right hand figure under "The Room Control Amplifier" on page 2 of reference) and further discloses it is intended to be located completely out of sight (page 2, first paragraph under "The Eye and Handset"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the amplifier mounted inside light fitting to hide the amplifier from sight as disclosed by QED Audio Products.

Regarding Claim 12, Lloyd further discloses the fascia plate also includes status indicators for the amplifier and the audio signal source components (see figures).

Regarding Claim 13, Puvogel further discloses three twisted pairs for signals and one which is user defined (i.e. to conduct infrared control signals).

Regarding Claims 14, 15, 22, and 24, it is inherent that signal are modulated before transmission to an infrared emitter in order to transmit the signals through space and that they will be demodulated when received.

#### Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Michalski whose telephone number is (703)305-5598. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 Hours, 5 day/week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Isen can be reached on (703)305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JIM

ン XU MEI PRIMARY EXAMIN籍例